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Definitions/Concepts in Paris and Accra Agreements

- Predictability of donor funding
- Use of country systems to deliver aid
- Conditionality based on country priorities and not donor objectives
- Untying aid – buying goods and services at best quality and lowest price
- Alignment – donors support is based on national development plans
- Harmonization – use of common procedures, management systems and shared analysis of performance
Paris / Accra and support for FPRH or Conflict of values?

- **Country ownership** means primarily government, with limited civil society participation.
- **Country systems need to be strong and robust** to deliver aid effectively.
- **Accountability for results** is not woven into the fabric of Paris / Accra.
Metrics: Why Do They Matter?

• Too many indicators, but not enough that are meaningful for FPRH

• FP/RH indicators often excluded in health ODA agreements

• Accountability / transparency issues
How much money is at stake for family planning?
(Data for 2008-2009)

Global Health NGOs & Health Related International Agencies

UNFPA, IPPF & Other International SRHR NGOs

Health Sector Funding and General Budget Support

$2.4 BILLION

$425 MILLION

$2.4 BILLION

$425 MILLION
What can be done to improve impact for family planning?

STRENGTHEN
Civil Society Organizations at the Country Level

MEASURE
Impact

BALANCE
Immediate SRHR needs with long-term need for HSS
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